

Counsel for Justice Karmeier believes that as the documents were created on the Justice's court computer, that the appropriate method of retrieving the documents is through a subpoena to the Illinois Supreme Court. However, at the hearing, counsel for Justice Karmeier indicated that he recently spoke with the director of the Illinois Court systems and that on a previous occasion documents retrieved from the court systems were produced from a subpoena directed to the director who then retrieved the documents. Counsel noted, however, that Justice Karmeier could also copy

the file and the emails but expressed concern that Plaintiffs' request might go beyond the request for the document and emails related to the document which would require a subpoena directed to the Illinois Supreme Court. The Court notes that Plaintiffs have not sought any materials beyond the timeline itself and emails related to the timeline. The Court finds the requested documents to be within Justice Karameier's control. **Fed.R.Civ.P. 45(a)(1)(A)(iii).**

As such, the Court **DIRECTS** Justice Karameier to provide Plaintiffs with an electronic copy of the timeline with the metadata still intact, as well as any emails Justice Karameier sent or received related to the timeline. Justice Karameier has three weeks from today's date to produce the documents requested by Plaintiffs. Should the retrieval of the documents and email require additional time, counsel may request an extension to produce the documents if needed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: November 10, 2015.

/s/ Stephen C. Williams

STEPHEN C. WILLIAMS

United States Magistrate Judge